

## UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Pat nt and Trad mark Offic

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. 03/07/00 09/521,442 MENON G 680.0035USU Г **EXAMINER** HM22/1019 CHARLES NJ RUGGIERO ESQ DI NOLA BARON, L OHLANDT GREELEY RUGGIERO & PERLE **ART UNIT** PAPER NUMBER ONE LANDMARK SQUARE 9TH FLOOR 1615 STAMFORD CT 06901-2682 **DATE MAILED:** 10/19/00

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

**Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks** 

	Application No.		Applicant(s)	
Office Action Summary	09/521,442		MENON, GOPINATHAN K.	
	Examiner		Art Unit	
	Liliana Di Nola-B	aron	1615	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe Period for Reply	ars on the cover s	heet with the cor	respondence ad	dress
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	' IS SET TO EXP	IRE <u>3</u> MONTH(S	S) FROM	
<ul> <li>Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 of after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communic.</li> <li>If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days be considered timely.</li> <li>If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory communication.</li> <li>Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by Status</li> </ul>	cation. s, a reply within the st period will apply and	atutory minimum of t will expire SIX (6) M	thirty (30) days will ONTHS from the m	ailing date of this
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 21 M	<u>larch 2000</u> .			
2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑ This	s action is non-fin	⊫al.		
<ol> <li>Since this application is in condition for allowards closed in accordance with the practice under E</li> </ol>	nce except for for Ex <i>parte Quayle</i> , '	mal matters, pro 1935 C.D. 11, 45	secution as to t 3 O.G. 213.	the merits is
Disposition of Claims				
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are pending in the application.				
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	vn from considera	ition.		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.				
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are rejected.				
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.				
8) Claims are subject to restriction and/or	election requirem	ent.		
Application Papers	•			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.			
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>07 March 2000</u> is/are ob	ojected to by the E	∃xaminer.	ì	
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on	_is: a)□ approve	ed b) disappr	oved.	
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35	USC \$119(a)-	(d)	
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of the CERTIFI				
1. received.	LD copies of the	ononly documen	RS HAVE DECH.	
<ol><li>received in Application No. (Series Code</li></ol>	/ Serial Number)	·		
<ol><li>received in this National Stage application</li></ol>	n from the Interna	tional Bureau (P	CT Rule 17.2(a	)).
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list o	f the certified cop	ies not received		
14) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domes	stic priority under	35 U.S.C. & 119	(e).	
Attachment(s)				
5) 🔀 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 6) 🔀 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 7) 🔀 Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) _	19)	Interview Summary Notice of Informal P Other:		

Art Unit: 1615

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 1-13 and 18-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Schreuder. The claimed invention refers to a method of preventing, treating or ameliorating an affected area of the skin or hair, comprising topically applying to said area perilla oil.

  Schreuder discloses a composition for the treatment of skin disorders, such as cellulitis or striae (See e.g., col. 1, lines 6-14). Schreuder teaches that the paraffinic oils of the composition may be mixed with esters from unsaturated higher natural fatty acids and from higher natural unsaturated aliphatic alcohols, derived from animal or vegetable oils, including perilla seed oil (See e.g., col. 1, lines 26-47). Additionally, Schreuder teaches that the esters are added to the compositions of the invention in an amount of 1-6% by weight (See e.g., col. 1, lines 48-53).

The method of treatment and the composition disclosed by Schreuder meet the limitations of claims 1-13 and 18-20 of the instant application, as they contemplate a method of preventing, treating or ameliorating an affected area of the skin or hair, comprising topically applying to said area perilla oil. Thus, Schreuder anticipates the claimed invention.

<sup>(</sup>e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

Application/Control Number: 09/521,442

Art Unit: 1615

3. Claims 1-13 and 18-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Soma et al. The claimed invention refers to a method of preventing, treating or ameliorating an affected area of the skin or hair, comprising topically applying to said area perilla oil.

Soma et al. provides a composition for topical application, containing as an active ingredient an extract from a plant of the family Labiatae, for enhancing hyaluronic acid productivity (See e.g., col. 1, lines 6-15). Soma et al. teaches that hyaluronic acid plays important roles in the adhesion and protection of cells, formation of dermal tissues, retention of histionic water and maintenance of flexibility, and that a decrease in hyaluronic acid is linked to symptoms of dermal aging, such as lowering of wetness and tensness and occurrence of wrinkles and flabbiness (See e.g., col. 1, lines 27-54). Soma et al. includes the Perilla genus among the plant extracts from the family of Labiatae, which enhance hyaluronic acid productivity (See e.g., col. 2, lines 5-59) and teaches that the whole herb or the seeds of various Perilla plants may be used in the composition of the invention (See e.g., col. 3, lines 11-18). Soma et al. teaches that the amount of plant extract in the composition is 0.0001 to 20% by weight and that the composition may contain carriers, diluents and auxiliaries, including antioxidants, ultraviolet absorbers or scattering agents, vitamin A and retinol (See e.g., col. 3, line 49 to col. 4, line 42).

The method of treatment and the composition disclosed by Soma et al. meet the limitations of claims 1-13 and 18-20 of the instant application, as they contemplate a method of preventing, treating or ameliorating an affected area of the skin or hair, comprising topically applying to said area perilla oil. Thus, Soma et al. anticipates the claimed invention.

Application/Control Number: 09/521,442

Art Unit: 1615

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 1-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Soma et al., as applied to claims 1-13 and 18-20 above, and further in view of Snider. The claimed invention refers to a method of preventing, treating or ameliorating an affected area of the skin or hair. comprising topically applying to said area perilla oil and to a method of treating skin affected by acne, comprising preparing a topical composition comprising perilla oil and fish oil. The teachings of Soma et al. have been summarized above (See 35 U.S.C. 102(e) rejection of claims 1-13 and 18-20). Soma et al. does not include fish oil in the composition and treatment of

the invention.

Snider discloses reactive polymers for the treatment of skin disorders (See e.g., col. 1, lines 6-10). Snider teaches that the product of the invention is effective in treating different kinds of eczema or dermititis of allergic-toxinic origin, or funginic, bacteric or chemical origin (See e.g., col. 3, lines 34-39). Snider explains that the component A of the invention can be obtained from natural oil, including fish and perilla oil (See e.g., col. 3, line 65 to col. 4, line 14). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the

invention was made to modify the composition and method of treatment disclosed by Soma et al., by combining perilla and fish oil in the composition of the invention, as taught by Snider. One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to make such a modification to

Application/Control Number: 09/521,442

Art Unit: 1615

increase the efficacy of the treatment. Because of the teachings of Soma et al., that plant extracts may be mixed with auxiliaries, one of ordinary skill in the art would have a reasonable expectation that the method of treatment claimed in the instant application would be successful. Therefore the invention as a whole would have been prima facie obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Liliana Di Nola-Baron whose telephone number is 703-308-8318. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday, 6:30AM-3:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Thurman K Page can be reached on 703-308-2927. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-305-3592 for regular, communications and 703-305-3592 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 308-1234/1235...

October 16, 2000

